

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,416	12/17/2004	Antti Heikkinen	FORSAL-98	6753
36528 STIENNON & S	7590 12/17/2007 STIENNON	EXAMINER		
612 W. MAIN ST., SUITE 201 P.O. BOX 1667 MADISON, WI 53701-1667			HALPERN, MARK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Wilbioon, Wi	33701 1007		1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/518,416	HEIKKINEN ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Mark Halpern	1791
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DYNSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply built apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABAND	ION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
′=	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 North</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward	action is non-final.	prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 29-35,43-54 and 56-58 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 43-54 and 56-58 is/ar Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 29-35 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	re withdrawn from considerati	on.
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	under 35.U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachmen	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	nan/ (PTO 413)
2) Notic 3) Infor	the of Neierlenees Cited (FTO-092) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) the No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date

Application/Control Number:

10/518,416 Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

1) Acknowledgement is made of Amendment received 11/27/2007. Claim 29 is amended, claims 36-42, 55 are cancelled, and claims 43-54, 56-58 are withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

2) The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The primary reason for indicating allowable subject matter is that the cited prior art does not show a method for controlling the moisture gradient in making of a paper web that includes: pre-moisturizing, with a pre-moisturizer, the paper web in a cross direction to a selected pre-moisture content; passing the pre-moisturized paper web through a multi-nip calender having a first roll stack and a last roll stack, each roll stack having at least three rolls, and wherein the multi-nip calender is situated before a slitter-winder of the web; intermediate-moisturizing the web, with an intermediate-moisturizer, in the cross direction before the last roll stack and after a first calendering nip of the first roll stack to a selected intermediate moisture content; drying the web in the last roll stack to a selected final moisture value; and controlling the moisture gradient of the web in the thickness-direction in the multi-nip calender by adjusting the pre-moisturizing of the web, based on a calculated or measured value of the selected final moisture value of the web (claim 29).

Application/Control Number:

10/518,416 Art Unit: 1791

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3) Claims 29-35 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 31-44 of copending Application No. 10/598,664. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present application and the copending disclose the process of making a paper wherein in the step of calendering over a multi-roll calender, web pre-moistening, web intermediate moistening and control of the pre-moisturizing takes place based on the final moisture value of the web.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number:

10/518,416 Art Unit: 1791

Response to Amendment

4) Claims 29-35 rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn in view of amended claim.

Conclusion

5) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone no. is 571-272-1190.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Mark Halpern/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1791